

007680" 03E75960

UNITED STATES PATENT APPLICATION

OF

**MAYUR MANIAR
VIVEK PURI
KATE DAVID
SHAWN BUDDE**

FOR

METHOD OF ESTABLISHING A CREDIT LIMIT

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,
FARABOW, GARRETT,
& DUNNER, L.L.P.
1300 I STREET, N. W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20005
202-408-4000

Attorney Docket No. 05793.3031

TITLE OF THE INVENTION

METHOD OF ESTABLISHING A CREDIT LIMIT

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

I. Field of the Invention

The present invention is related to credit card products and to methods for providing such products. More particularly, the invention relates to methods that establish and assign a credit limit according to credit and risk-splitting information.

II. Background and Material Information

Credit card products have become so universally well known and ubiquitous that they have fundamentally changed the manner in which financial transactions and dealings are viewed and conducted in society today. Credit card products are most commonly represented by plastic card-like members that are offered and provided to customers through credit card issuers (such as banks and other financial institutions). With a credit card, an authorized customer or cardholder is capable of purchasing services and/or merchandise without an immediate, direct exchange of cash. With each purchase, the cardholder incurs debt which the cardholder may thereafter pay upon receipt of a monthly or otherwise periodic statement. In most cases, the cardholder will have the option to either fully pay the outstanding balance or, as a matter of necessity or choice, defer at least a portion of the balance for later payment with accompanying interest or finance charges for the period during which payment of the outstanding debt is deferred.

The spending power of a credit card (i.e., the total amount of funds available to the cardholder at any particular time for making purchases) is typically limited to a particular amount

predetermined by the issuer of the card. This amount is commonly referred to as the "credit limit" of the credit card. The size of the issuer-imposed credit limit is generally based on "credit information," including a number of non-exclusive factors, the most important of which are often the applicant's earning capacity and the applicant's credit history. Credit information is normally collected from one or more credit bureaus. In order to augment credit bureau information and offer the applicant a higher or lower credit limit, credit card issuers generally pose a variety of additional questions that give more detail about the applicant's financial status. These "risk-splitting questions" provide additional information about the applicant that typically is not reported by a credit bureau or provided by general credit information. Such information may include whether the applicant owns a home, a car or other property and whether the applicant purchases insurance on these properties. Information provided by answers to such risk-splitting questions enables the issuer to offer to the applicant a higher credit limit, while reducing the added risk of such an offer above the credit limit based entirely upon credit information.

Typically, credit card issuers include and pose risk-splitting questions on an application for the credit card. The problem with this technique is that including such questions on the application encumbers the application process, which has been proven to reduce the number of applicants. Direct mail campaigns have found that eliminating risk-splitting questions from application forms increased the response rate by more than 50%. However, eliminating the questions decreased the issuer's confidence in the initial risk assessment on applicant accounts. The result of eliminating the risk-splitting questions is that low risk applicants get lower credit limits and higher risk applicants get higher credit limits than would be ideal for the applicant and the credit card issuer. Therefore, the risk-splitting questions are necessary to allow optimal credit

limit assignment to each applicant and increase the value of the credit card product to the applicant and the issuer.

In addition, another problem with static risk-splitting questions on the application form is that the responses to the risk-splitting questions may change from the time the application form is completed by the applicant and the time when the credit card is issued and activated. Similarly, the issuer may want to ask different permutations of questions to the applicant based on the applicant's answers to certain risk-splitting questions. The static risk-splitting questions on the application form cannot be tailored to the answers of each applicant.

In view of the foregoing, there is presently a need for an improved system and method for providing credit card products. For example, a need exists for a credit card product that minimizes the risk to credit card issuers while allowing them to offer credit limits to applicants (having, for example, a poor or bad credit history) that adequately represent their status at the point of activation. There is also a need for an improved system and method for offering credit cards that provides an optimized credit limit for each applicant.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Systems and methods consistent with the principles of the present invention address the needs and drawbacks of past attempts by posing risk-splitting questions at the point of activation and determining the applicant's credit limit at that point in time. More specifically, systems and methods consistent with the invention provide a credit card product that allows optimal credit limit assignment for each applicant. The applicant applies for a credit card from the issuer. The issuer runs a credit report on the applicant and determines the applicant's credit limit based on credit information. The applicant's credit card is given a "temporary credit limit," based either on

the applicant's credit limit set by a model which uses credit bureau or a set minimum credit limit, such as \$200. In either case, the actual credit limit is determined at the time of activation, when the applicant answers certain risk-splitting questions. Ultimately, the actual credit limit will be determined based on credit information, answers to risk-splitting questions, and other information or "external data," such as purchase information from partner credit card issuer. As a result, the applicant is offered an increased value product and the issuer has confidence in the initial risk assessment.

According to an aspect of the invention, the issuer sends the applicant a credit card that has not been activate or has been activated to the temporary credit limit. The applicant is not informed of the value of the temporary credit limit and that value does not appear on subsequent monthly credit card statements. The applicant is invited to telephone or contact the issuer so as to activate the credit card. To contact the issuer, the applicant may be given the option to activate the credit card through the issuer's Web site on the Internet or through other communication means. Each applicant is also informed that upon contacting the issuer they will be informed of their credit limit. This credit limit is the actual credit limit that appears on the applicant's monthly credit card statements. If the applicant does not contact the issuer to activate the credit card, then the temporary credit limit defaults as the actual credit limit and, as a result, appears on the applicant's monthly credit card statements.

According to another aspect of the invention, the customer is prompted to answer a number (for example 3-6) of risk-splitting questions. The answers to the questions are relayed to the a computing platform or system, such as a Decision System. The Decision System evaluates whether to prompt different subsequent questions depending on the answers to the earlier

5 questions. The questions may be posed by a live operator or voice recognition unit (VRU). The answers are either interpreted by the live operator and input into the Decision System or require standardized answers, which the VRU can recognize and transmit to the Decision System. The Decision System factors credit information, external data, and the answers to the risk-splitting questions to assign the actual credit limit.

In accordance with another aspect of the present invention, the applicant is informed of the actual credit limit during activation and the credit card is activated with the actual credit limit. Based on the risk-splitting questions posed by the Decision System and applicant's answers, the live operator or VRU may also offer to cross sell another of the issuer's products, such as refinancing for a home or home insurance.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING

The accompanying drawings which are incorporated in and constitute a part of this specification, illustrate the various features and aspects of the present invention and, together with the description, explain the principles of the invention. In the drawings:

FIG. 1 illustrates an exemplary system environment in which the features of the present invention may be implemented;

FIG. 2 illustrates an exemplary credit card issuer, consistent with the principles of the present invention;

FIG. 3 illustrates an exemplary flowchart of a process for selecting applicants, consistent with the principles of the present invention;

FIG. 4 illustrates an exemplary flowchart of a process for establishing customer accounts for applicants, consistent with the principles of the present invention; and

FIG. 5 illustrates an exemplary flowchart of a process for optimizing the credit limit assigned to customers, consistent with the principles of the present invention

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Systems and methods consistent with the present invention protect credit card issuers while addressing the needs of card holders by offering a credit card product with an optimized credit limit. The credit limit is determined by the issuer and is generally based on a number of non-exclusive factors, the most important of which are often the earning capacity and credit history of each potential customer. By tailoring the credit limit to potential customers based on their individual credit-worthiness, risk is minimized to the credit card issuer. The credit-worthiness incorporates the non-exclusive factors listed above, but can also incorporate other factors better determined by risk-splitting questions. As a result, with the features of the present invention, a credit card issuer is capable of maximizing the credit limit offered to each customer with information not otherwise captured by the non-exclusive factors listed above. In addition, a credit card issuer is able to establish and assign a credit limit for each customer based on more current information available at the time of activation of the credit card product.

The above-noted features and other aspects and principles of the present invention may be implemented in various system or network environments to provide automated computational tools to facilitate data collection, risk analysis, and credit limit assignment. Such environments and applications may be specially constructed for performing the various processes and operations of the invention or they may include a general purpose computer or computing platform selectively activated or reconfigured by program code to provide the necessary functionality. The processes disclosed herein are not inherently related to any particular

5 TRW/Experian, Equifax and TransUnion. The risk-splitting questions are chosen by the credit card issuer 200 from the pool of questions available in the database 600. Output from the credit card issuer 200 includes the credit limit calculated by a computing platform and sent to the communication network 400 for relay to one or more customers 310-350. Output from credit card issuer 200 can also be provided to database 600, which may be utilized as a persistent storage device for storing new credit card account information including credit limits for accounts.

In the embodiment of FIG. 1, credit card issuer 200 communicates or transfers customer and credit data to and from one or more credit bureaus 510-550 through communications network 400. Communications network 400 may comprise, alone or in any suitable combination, a telephony-based network (such as a PBX or POTS), a local area network (LAN), a wide area network (WAN), a dedicated intranet, and/or the Internet. Further, any suitable combination of wired and/or wireless components and systems may be incorporated into communications network 400. Credit card issuer 200 also communicates to customers 310-350 through the communications network 400, as illustrated in FIG. 1. By using dedicated communication links or a shared network architecture, credit card issuer 200 may be located in the same location or at a geographically distant location from credit bureaus 510-550 and/or customers 310-350.

20 In accordance with the principles of the present invention, an exemplary credit card issuer will now be described with reference to FIG. 2.

By way of a non-limiting example, FIG. 2 illustrates a credit card issuer 200 in which the features and principles of the present invention may be implemented. As illustrated in the block

5 diagram of FIG. 2, a credit card issuer 200 includes a computing platform 210, an input module 220, an output module 230, a network interface 240, customer representative station(s) 250, and voice recognition unit(s) 260. A computing platform 210 is adapted to provide the necessary functionality and computing capabilities to analyze each customer's answers to risk-splitting questions from the communications network 400 and channeled through the network interface 240, customer representative stations 250, or voice recognition units 260 to the input module 220. The risk-splitting questions, and activation and credit limit information are provided as output from computing platform 200 (interfacing with database 600) to output module 220 for communication to the network interface 240, customer representative stations 250, or voice recognition units 260.

A computing platform 210 preferably comprises a PC or mainframe computer for performing various functions and operations of the invention. Computing platform 210 may be implemented, for example, by a general purpose computer selectively activated or reconfigured by a computer program stored in the computer, or may be a specially constructed computing platform for carrying-out the features and operations of the present invention. Computing platform 210 may also be implemented or provided with a wide variety of components or subsystems including, for example, one or more of the following: one or more central processing units, a co-processor, memory, registers, and other data processing devices and subsystems.

20 Alternatively, communication between computing platform 210 and modules 220, 230 can be achieved through the use of a network architecture (not shown) similar to that described above for communications network 400.

0051330-083150

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,
FARABOW, GARRETT,
& DUNNER, L.L.P.
1300 I STREET, N. W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20005
202-408-4000

customer based on their creditworthiness (Step S.330). The credit card issuer then sends an offer of the credit card product to each potential customer (Step S.340).

In accordance with the principles of the present invention, an exemplary process for establishing customer accounts will now be described with reference to FIG. 4.

FIG. 4 is an exemplary flowchart of a process for establishing customer accounts. As illustrated in FIG. 4, the credit card issuer receives an application for a potential customers (Step S.410). The credit card issuer then evaluates and determines a initial credit limit for the credit card product (step S.420). The credit card issuer then sets-up a customer account with an initial credit limit (Step S.430). The credit card issuer then notifies the customer of the new account which has not been activated. (Step S.440).

In accordance with the principles of the present invention, an exemplary process for optimizing credit limits assigned to customers will now be described with reference to FIG. 5.

FIG. 5 is an exemplary flowchart of a process for optimizing credit limits assigned to customers. As illustrated in FIG. 5, a customer receives a notification of a new account that is not activated and is set-up with an initial credit limit (Step S.510). A customer then contacts a credit card issuer to activate the account (step S.520).

A credit card issuer then asks a customer a risk-splitting question (Step S.530). Risk-splitting questions may include: What is your gross annual income? Do you have a car? Do you have a checking account? Do you own your current residence? How many other credit cards do you have? What is your monthly housing/rent payment? Do you have a car loan? The customer then answers the question and the credit card issuer evaluates the answer (Step S.540).

0051300-083145
0051300-083145

5 The credit card issuer then makes a decision whether to ask more questions (Step S. 550). The answers and whether to ask additional questions may be determined by using a logical sequence of questions (i.e. Do you have a car? If yes, then Do you have a car loan?) and/or sequencing questions based on risk, quantified by a FICO score, and evaluated against a threshold value X (i.e. Do you have other credit cards? If FICO score > X and answer is yes, then ask How long have you been a member with those credit cards? or decide no more questions. If FICO score > X and answer is no, then ask another line of questions) and/or other behaviors like the length of time elapsed between the time when customer's account is set up by the credit card provider and the time of activation.

If the credit card issuer decides to ask more questions return to Step S. 530, if not then proceed to Step S. 560. If a credit card issuer has completed asking risk-splitting questions then it determines an actual credit limit based on a customer's answers to the risk-splitting questions. (Step S. 560). A credit card issuer inputs a customer's risk (FICO) score communicated from the credit bureaus and a customer's answers to the risk-splitting questions and uses them as parameters in a model which weigh each parameter and determines a score. The score is correlated to a predetermined credit limit and then assigned to that credit card product. Another example of a parameter in this type of model may be the length of time that it takes the customer to activate the credit card product from the time the customer receives notification of the new account.

20 A credit card issuer then activates an account for the customer and informs them of their credit limit. (Step S. 570).

0051320 08345

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,
FARABOW, GARRETT,
& DUNNER, L.L.P.
1300 I STREET, N. W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20005
202-408-4000